

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/913,595 10/22/2001		10/22/2001	Manabu Sasamoto	501.40474X00 .	3782	
20457	7590	11/17/2006		EXAMINER		
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET			HENNING, N	MATTHEW T		
SUITE 1800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ARLINGTON, VA 22209-3873			2131			

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/913,595	SASAMOTO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Matthew T. Henning	2131
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vortice is a period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status ·	•	
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 Al</u>	uaust 2006.	
	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	*	osecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	·	
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18,47 and 48</u> is/are pending in the a	application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18,47 and 48</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	ion No
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	∍d.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	. 44

22

examiner does not find the argument persuasive.

1 This action is in response to the communication filed on 8/30/2006. 2 DETAILED ACTION 3 Response to Arguments 4 Applicants' arguments filed 8/30/2006 have been fully considered but they are not 5 persuasive. Applicants' argue primarily that: 6 Chou's "apparatus specific" key is stored in the recording medium. a. 7 b. Chou's keys are noise sample and DVD specific. 8 Regarding applicants' argument a., that Chou's "apparatus specific" key is stored in the 9 recording medium, the examiner does not find the argument persuasive. As recited in the claims, 10 "the recording medium component" is the component in which the encrypted data is recorded. 11 In Chou, as seen in Fig. 2 and the last paragraph of Col. 2, there are multiple components to the 12 recording medium, including the transponder and the optical disk. In Chou, the key is stored in 13 the transponder component, which is separate from the optical disk component where the 14 encrypted data is recorded. Therefore the examiner does not find the argument persuasive. 15 Regarding applicants' argument b., that Chou's keys are noise sample and DVD specific. 16 the examiner does not find the argument persuasive. It is noted that the features upon which 17 applicant relies (i.e., the keys not being noise sample or DVD specific) are not recited in the 18 rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations 19 from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 20 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Furthermore, there is no reason why a key cannot be both DVD 21 and transponder specific, especially since the transponder is located in the DVD. As such, the

Page 3

Art Unit: 2131

1 Because the applicants' arguments have not been found persuasive, the examiner has 2 maintained the prior art rejections of the unamended claims. 3 Claims 1-18, and 47-48 have been examined and 19-46 have been cancelled. All objections and rejections not set forth below have been withdrawn. 4 5 Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 6 7 The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: 8 9 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention. 10 Claims 7-18 and 47-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being 11 12 indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. 13 14 Claim 7 recites the limitation "said recording medium component" in lines 8-9. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. 15 16 Claims 47-48 recites the limitation "the beginning". There is insufficient antecedent 17 basis for this limitation in the claim. The examiner will assume that "the beginning" is anytime 18 prior to the beginning of encryption. 19 Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103 20 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 21 obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action: 22 A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter 23 24 sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have 25 been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to 26 which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made. 27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Claims 1-6, and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chou (US Patent Number 6, 167, 136), and further in view of Wonfor et al. (US Patent Number 6,381,747) hereinafter referred to as Wonfor. Regarding claim 1, Chou disclosed a digital signal recorder for recording a digital signal on a recording medium component (See Chou Abstract and Fig. 2), comprising: first key information generation unit to generate at least one item of first key information which is apparatus-specific key information (See Chou Col. 6 Lines 34-38 DK<sub>A</sub>); second key information generation unit to generate at least one item of second key information (See Chou Col. 6 Lines 39-43 and Col. 7 Paragraph 1; i); key generation unit which receives said both of said first and second key information generated by said first key information generation unit and said second key information generation unit and performs a prescribed arithmetic operation thereon to generate a key (See Chou Col. 6 Lines 44-58); an encryption circuit which receives said key and said digital signal and encrypts said digital signal with said key (See Chou Col. 6 Lines 59-65), and outputs the resulting encrypted digital signal in a case where said digital signal needs copy protection (See Chou Col. 6 Lines 59-65); and a recording circuit which records, onto said recording medium component, at least one of said at least one item of second key information generated by said second key information generation unit, together with said encrypted digital signal in a case where said digital signal needs copy protection (See Chou Col. 6 Line 66 – Col. 7 Line 5), and wherein said first key information as said apparatus specific key information is not

recorded on said recording medium component (See Chou Fig. 2, Fig. 4 and Col. 2 Last

Page 5

Art Unit: 2131

Paragraph), but failed to disclose recording said digital signal without encryption in a case where said digital signal needs no copy protection.

Wonfor teaches that not all data needs to be copy protected and teaches a system that turns off copy protection when it is not needed (See Wonfor Col. 2 Line 66 – Col. 3 Line 7 and Col. 12 Table 2).

It would have been obvious to the ordinary person skilled in the art at the time of invention to employ the teachings of Wonfor in the copy protection system of Chou by only scrambling the data that needed copy protection and not scrambling the data that didn't need copy protection. This would have been obvious because the ordinary person would have been motivated to prevent unnecessary processing to copy protect data that did not need it.

Regarding claim 2, Chou and Wonfor disclosed that said second key information generation unit generates said second key information by using a random number generator (See Chou Col. 7 Paragraph 1), and said digital signal has a packet format of a prescribed length (See Chou Col. 6 Lines 17-23).

Regarding claim 3, Chou and Wonfor disclosed that said second key information generation unit generates said second key information by using a random number generator (See Chou Col. 7 Paragraph 1), the second key information generation unit has a function for updating said at least one item of said second key information at a prescribed time interval (See Chou Col. 5 Lines 34-39, Col. 6 Lines 59-61 and 7 Lines 2-5); and said recording circuit has a function for recording information capable of identifying timing when said second key information generation unit updates said key information (See Chou Col. 5 Lines 43-48).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Regarding claim 4, Chou and Wonfor disclosed that said digital signal has a packet format of a prescribed length (See Chou Col. 5 Lines 34-39); and said recording circuit has a function for adding identifying information capable of identifying timing where said second key information generation unit updates said second key information, and where said identifying information is added to packets of said digital signal and recorded on said recording medium component (See Chou Col. 5 Paragraph 4 and Col. 6 Paragraph 5 and Col. 7 Paragraph 1). Regarding claim 5, Chou and Wonfor disclosed that said second key information generation unit generates said second key information by using a random number generator (See Chou Col. 7 Paragraph 1), said encryption circuit has a function capable of selecting between a first function for encrypting and outputting said digital signal, and a second function for outputting said digital signal as is without encryption (See the rejection of claim 1 above); and said recording circuit has a function for recording, in a prescribed area on said recording medium component, encryption flag information indicating whether or not said digital signal is encrypted, and, when not encrypted, not recording said second key information (See Wonfor Col. 8 Lines 17-23 and Table 2). Regarding claim 6, Chou and Wonfor disclosed that said digital signal has a packet format of a prescribed length (See Chou Col. 5 Lines 34-39); and said recording circuit has a function for adding encryption flag information indicating whether or not said digital signal is encrypted, to packets of said digital signal, and a function for recording on said recording medium component (See Wonfor Col. 8 Lines 17-23 and Table 2). Regarding claim 47, Chou and Wonfor disclosed that said first key information is pre-

stored in said recorder from the beginning (See Chou Fig. 8 Step 51 and Col. 6 Lines 34-38).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

. 22

Claims 7-12, 14-17, and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Chou and Wonfor, as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kim (US Patent Number 6,466,733).

Regarding claim 7, the combination of Chou and Wonfor disclosed a digital signal recorder in which a digital signal of a packet format of a prescribed length is input comprising: first key information generation unit to generate at least one item of first key information which is apparatus specific key information; second key information generation unit to generate at least one item of second key information; key generation unit to receive both of said first and second key information generated by said first key information generation unit and said second key information generation unit, and perform a prescribed arithmetic operation to generate a key; an encryption circuit which receives said key and said digital signal, encrypts said digital signal with said key and outputs the resulting encrypted digital signal in a case where said digital signal needs copy protection; and a recording circuit which records, onto said recording medium component, at least one of said at least on item of second key information generated by said second key information generation unit, together with said encrypted digital signal in a case where said digital signal needs copy protection, and records said digital signal without encryption in a case where said digital signal needs no copy protection, and wherein said first key information as said apparatus-specific key information, is not recorded on said recording medium component (See rejection of claims 1-2 above), but failed to disclose dividing the signal into other prescribed lengths; a synchronization signal, recording information signal, auxiliary information signal, and first error correction code are added thereto to define a block format; one track is formed by a prescribed number of blocks thus made; a second error correction code is

Art Unit: 2131

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Page 8

- added in units of n tracks (where n is an integer 1 or greater); said second error correction code is also divided and said first error correction code is added thereto to constitute a block format; and said tracks are recorded on said recording medium.
  - Kim teaches a method for recording a digital transport stream by creating tracks from video packets and providing three error correction codes to each track (See Kim Figs. 2, 3, and 5 and Col. 6 Paragraphs 4-7 and Col. 7 Paragraphs 3-4).
  - It would have been obvious to the ordinary person skilled in the art at the time of invention to employ the teachings of Kim in the recorder of Chou and Wonfor by storing the encrypted packets in the ECC block format of Kim. This would have been obvious because the ordinary person skilled in the art would have been motivated to protect the stored programs against errors.
  - Regarding claim 8, see the rejection of claim 1 above wherein it would have been obvious to store the frame identification number in an auxiliary storage area because the frame identification number is auxiliary data.
- Regarding claim 9, see the rejection of claim 3 above.
- Regarding claim 10, Chou, Wonfor, and Kim disclosed that timing information was included in the stored block data (see Kim Col. 5 Paragraph 6).
- 18 Regarding claim 11, Chou, Wonfor, and Kim disclosed that timing information was 19 stored in an auxiliary section (See Kim Col. 6 Paragraph 4 and Col. 7 Paragraph 3).
- 20 Regarding claim 12, Chou, Wonfor, and Kim disclosed adding timing information to the 21 blocks identifying the timing of the packets (See Kim Col. 2 Lines 54-57)

1	Regarding claim 13, Chou, Wonfor, and Kim disclosed that the frame identification
2	number was updated every frame and there was at least one frame per track (See Chou Col. 5
3	Paragraph 4). Therefore, the frame identification number was updated for every track.
4	Regarding claim 14, see the rejection of claim 7 above.
5	Regarding claim 15-17, see the rejection of claims 5-6 above.
6	Regarding claim 48, Chou, Wonfor, and Kim disclosed that said first key information is
7	pre-stored in said recorder from the beginning (See Chou Fig. 8 Step 51 and Col. 6 Lines 34-38).
. 8	Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination
9	of Chou, Wonfor, and Kim, as applied to claim 14 above, and further in view of Yuval et al. (US
10	Patent Number 5,586,186) hereinafter referred to as Yuval.
11	The combination of Chou, Wonfor, and Kim disclosed encrypting certain data and not
12	other data, (See the rejection of claim 1 above), but failed to disclose switching to determine
13	whether or not to encrypt every n tracks.
14	Yuval teaches that for efficiency, only every nth track should be encrypted (See Yuval
15	Col. 6 Lines 13-23).
16	It would have been obvious to the ordinary person skilled in the art at the time of
17	invention to employ the teachings of Yuval in the copy protection system of Chou, Wonfor, and
18	Kim by encrypting every nth track. This would have been obvious because the ordinary person
19	skilled in the art would have been motivated to make the copy protection system more efficient

20

in both the encryption and decryption.

Art Unit: 2131

Page 10

1	Conclusion
2	Claims 1-18, and 47-48 have been rejected.
3	The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's
4	disclosure.
5	Pexravian et al. (US Patent Number 6,363,154) teaches a communication system in
6	which a secret key and a random number are hashed to create a working key which is used to
7	encrypt data.
8	Ishiguro (US Patent Number 5,796,839) teaches a system which uses a working key to
9	encrypt data to be stored on a recording medium in such a way that without knowledge of the
10	master key the data is not recoverable in any way other than brute force.
11	Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
12	examiner should be directed to Matthew T. Henning whose telephone number is (571) 272-3790
13	The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4.
14	If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's
15	supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on (571) 272-3795. The fax phone number for the
16	organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

1 Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent 2 Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished 3 4 applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR 5 system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR 6 system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would 7 like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated 8 information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

9

10 11

12 13

14 Matthew Henning

15 Assistant Examiner

16 Art Unit 2131

17 11/9/2006

AYAZ SHEIKH

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100